

UNITED STATES AN PARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

- 1	Washi	ington, D.C. 20231	
08/746361		V	
SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
	96—ANDERSON		
	[EXAMINER	
021839	HM22/0203		
BURNS DOANE SWECKER P O BOX 1404	& MATHIS	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA VA 22313	-1404	1644 25	
	1	DATE MAILED.	
		02/03/00	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

□⊤	HE	PERIO	D FOR RESP	ONSE:								
a) [) (s exten	ded to run		or continues t	o run	from the date of the final rejection					
ь) [expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.										
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.											
	•				ith 37 CFR 1.192(•	•					
9	o pi	licant's t ace the	response to th application in	e final rejectio condition for a	n, filed1/3/a allowance:	has been o	considered with the following effect, but it is	s not deemed				
1. [) 7	The prop	osed amendr	nents to the cl	aim and /or specifi	cation will not be ent	ered and the final rejection stands because	o:				
	 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented. 											
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).											
	,	c. 🔲 Ti	hey raise the i	ssue of new m	atter. (See Note).							
			hey are not d ppeal.	eemed to plac	e the application is	n better form for appe	eal by materially reducing or simplifying the	issues for				
		е. 🔲 Т	hey present a	dditional claim	s without cancellin	g a corresponding no	umber of finally rejected claims.					
	1	NOTE:										
2. [proposed or a -allowable clai		s	would be allowed	f if submitted in a separately filed amendm	ent cancelling				
э. [Upon th		eal, the propo	sed amendment [will be entered	will not be entered and the status of the	claims will				
		Claims	allowed:				_					
			objected to: _ rejected:	29-	37		-					
		Ciamis	However;				-					
		☐ Apı	plicant's respo	nse has overc	ome the following	rejection(s):						
	_											
4. [E BECORD	s been considered bu	at does not overcome the rejection becaus	e				
.5. [The affi	davit or exhibited.	Will not be co	nsidered because	applicant has not sho	own good and sufficent reasons why it was	F 716450				
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.												
Other PHILLIPGAMBEL PARENT FRAMINIEN												
				GY CBV	ter_			,				
		A	ACT UNIT	<u>- 18</u> 44			,					